Statistical physics approaches to Alzheimer's disease

Brigita Urbanc, Ph.D. Boston University Center for Polymer Studies H. Eugene Stanley, Director

Collaborators:

Luis Cruz, Alfonso Lam, Shouyong Peng, Sijung Yun (BU) Jose M. Borreguero (Georgia Tech) Gal Bitan, Noel D. Lazo and David B. Teplow (UCLA) Sergey V. Buldyrev (BU & Yeshiva U) Feng Ding and Nikolay V. Dohkolyan (UNC) Brad T. Hyman (Harvard Medical School)



Q: Are plaques associated with neuronal loss in AD?

A: The fibrillar form of amyloid deposit is locally neurotoxic.

red: neuronal bodiesblue: amyloid depositsgreen: fibrillar forms



Urbanc, Cruz, Le, Sanders, Hsiao-Ashe, Duff, Stanley, Irizarry, & Hyman, Neurotoxic effects of thioflavin S positive amyloid deposits in Alzheimer's disease, PNAS (2002).

Q: How to detect local changes in neuron density due to SPs? A: Cross-correlation density map method



Local neuronal density around an average fibrillar amyloid deposit



Q: What are plaques made of? How do they form? A: Amyoid β-protein (Aβ). Nobody knows for sure.

Aβ(1-42) amino acid sequence: DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA



Working hypothesis Bitan, Kirkitadze, Lomakin, Vollers, Benedek, and Teplow, PNAS (2003).

OLIGOMERS ARE THE MOST TOXIC!

Unstructured:

- monomers
- pentamers/hexamers (paranuclei)
- large oligomers

 β -strand rich:

- protofibrils
- fibrils



Q1: What are key principles giving rise to oligomer formation differences of Aβ40 versus Aβ42?

Q2: What is the 3D structure of Aβ40 versus Aβ42 oligomers?





Zhou, Hall & Karplus, PRL, 1996; Zhou & Karplus, PNAS, 1997; Dokholyan, Buldyrev, Stanley & Shakhnovich, Fold. Des., 1998.

Four-bead peptide model

Ding, Borreguero, Buldyrev, Stanley & Dokholyan, Proteins, 2003.



Four-bead model with hydrogen bonds predicts planar β-sheet dimers and single-layer β-sheet oligomer structure.

Planar β -sheet dimer in water



Single-layer β -sheet oligomer



Urbanc, Cruz, Ding, Sammond, Khare, Buldyrev, Stanley & Dokholyan, Biophys. J., 2004.

Amino acid-specific interactions: Hydrophilic vs. Hydrophobic

Phenomenological hydropathy scale: Kyte & Doolittle, JMB, 1982.

hydrophobic residues: (from the most to the least hydrophobic) Ile, Val, Leu, Phe, Cys, Met, Ala hydrophobic effect --> minimize the ``solvent''exposed surface --> attraction





two hydrophobic side chains: attractive square well

hydrophilic residues: (from the most to the least hydrophilic) Arg+, Lys+, Asp-, Glu-, Asn, Gln, His hydrophilic effect --> maximize the ``solvent''exposed surface-->repulsion



two hydrophilic side chains: repulsive square well

8 trajectories of each A $\beta40$ and A $\beta42$ with 32 peptides in a cubic box of 25 nm

Parameters:

 $E_{HB} = 1$ $E_{HP} = 0.3$ (Ile--Ile) $T = 0.15 [E_{HB}/k]$

Initially peptides are in unfolded, zero-energy conformations.



After 6 M simulation steps, monomers and oligomers are in a

quasi-steady state.



A β 42 forms significantly more pentamers than A β 40



3D structure of A β 40 and A β 42 pentamers

Αβ40		Αβ42	
red spheres purple spheres green spheres blue spheres	Asp1 Val40 Ile 41 Ala42	yellow ribbon turquoise tube silver tube	β-strand turn no s.s.

VMD Software Package (Humphrey et al, JMG, 1996). STRIDE program for s.s. calculation (Heinig and Frishman, 2004).

ANSWERS:

Q1: What are key principles giving rise to different pathways of Aβ40 versus Aβ42 oligomer formation?

• hydrophobic/hydrophilic effective interactions are key driving ints in A β oligomer formation that underly differences between A β 40 and A β 42;

Q2: What is the 3D structure of A β 40 versus A β 42 oligomers?

- oligomers have globular structure with C-termini within the core and N-termini at the surface;
- N-termini of Aβ40 oligomers are more spatially restricted than in Aβ42 and form a β-strand structure at Ala2-Phe4 ---> hydrophobic core of Aβ42 oligomers is more exposed ---> Aβ42 more prone to aggregate further.

Urbanc, Cruz, Yun, Buldyrev, Bitan, Teplow & Stanley, PNAS, 2004.

NEW DEVELOPMENTS

• Study the effects of interaction between charged amino acids on $A\beta$ folding and oligomerization:

Yun, Urbanc, Cruz, Bitan, Teplow & Stanley, *Role of electrostatic interactions in* $A\beta$ *oligomer formation: A discrete molecular dynamics study*, manuscript in preparation.

• Apply a united-atom model to studies details of side chain-side chain interactions:

Borreguero, Urbanc, Lazo, Buldyrev, Teplow & Stanley, Folding events in the 21-30 region of amyloid β -protein (A β) studied in silico, PNAS (2005).

• Apply an all-atom model with explicit solvent to study solvent effects:

Cruz, Urbanc, Borreguero, Lazo, Teplow & Stanley, Solvent and mutation effects on the nucleation of amyloid β -protein folding, PNAS, in press.

We have generalized the DMD code to describe assembly of two different peptides ("proof of concept").



Oligomer composed of 16 A β (1-42) and 16 A β (31-42) peptides

Biological relevance of our approach: the four bead model

<u>A β (1-40) and A β (1-42) oligomer formation</u>

-four-bead protein model with amino acid-specific interactions due to hydropathy

-in agreement with in vitro findings of Bitan

-yields new structural predictions amenable to in vitro testing

Aggregate of 16 A β (1-42)



Ile31, Ile32, Ile41 (green) β-strand (yellow ribbon)

A β (1-40) and A β (1-42) pentamers



Asp1 (red), Val40 (purple), Ile41 (green), Ala42 (blue)

Urbanc, Cruz, Yun, Buldyrev, Bitan, Teplow & Stanley, PNAS (2004).

Biological relevance of our approach: a united-atom model

<u>DMD A\beta(21-30) folding</u>

- -united-atom protein model with explicit heavy side-chain atoms
- -shows Val24-Lys28 packing due to the hydrophobic effect
- -salt bridge Glu22-Lys28 stabilizes the fold at an intermediate strength of electrostatic interactions
 -salt bridge Asp23-Lys28 destabilizes the

fold at a large strength of electrostatic interactions



Borreguero, Urbanc, Lazo, Buldyrev, Teplow & Stanley, PNAS (2005).

Biological relevance of our approach: all-atom MD study

<u>All-atom folding of A β (21-30) and its mutant (Glu22Gln)</u>

-MD in explicit solvents: normal water, reduced-density water and water with salt ions -in agreement with *in vitro* and previous DMD studies & folding is sensitive to solvent

and mutation

black tube ... backbone red sphere ... O white sphere ... H green sphere ... Na+ yellow sphere ... Clcyan sphere ... C blue sphere ... N yellow hashed line ... Glu22-Lys28 salt bridge

Cruz, Urbanc, Borreguero, Lazo, Teplow & Stanley, PNAS, in press.

Number of monomers and oligomers versus simulation time









Effects of the interaction between two charged side chains on oligomer size distribution



Interactions between charged side chains speed up oligomerization, but do not affect the degree of the difference between A β 40 and A β 42.

Time evolution of monomer folding: Hydropathy and Charge



DOMINANTLY INHERITED FORMS OF AD

NON-DOMINANT FORMS OF AD (including "sporadic" AD)

Missense mutations in the APP or Failure of AB clearance mechanisms (e.g., inheritance of ApoE4; faulty AB degradation, etc.) Presenilin 1 or 2 genes Gradually rising AB42 levels in brain Increased AB42 production throughout life Accumulation and oligomerization of AB42 in limbic and association cortices Subtle effects of AB oligomers on synaptic efficacy Gradual deposition of AB42 oligomers as diffuse plaques Microglial and astrocytic activation and attendant inflammatory responses Altered neuronal ionic homeostasis; oxidative injury Altered kinase/phosphatase activities lead to tangles Widespread neuronal/synaptic dysfunction and selective neuronal loss, with attendant neurotransmitter deficits DEMENTIA

WHAT DO IN VITRO STUDIES SHOW?

 Aβ40: monomers to tetramers, Aβ42: paranuclei (pentamers/hexamers) and larger oligomers, multiples of paranuclei (10-12, 15-18):

Bitan, Kirkitadze, Lomakin, Vollers, Benedek & Teplow, PNAS (2003);

- hydrophobic nature of C-terminal Ile41 and Ala42 plays a key role in Aβ42 paranuclei formation: Bitan, Vollers & Teplow, JBC (2003);
- oxidation of Met35 disruptsAβ42 paranuclei formation:

Bitan, Tarus, Vollers, Lashuel, Condron, Straub & Teplow, JACS (2003).

Aβ40 versus Aβ42 1 10

- contacts form first at the C-termini
- turn at Gly37-Gly38 forms in Aβ42 only
- β-strand at
 Ala2-Phe4 forms
 in Aβ40 only



Bitan, Tarus, Vollers, Lashuel, Condron, Straub & Teplow, JACS (2003). Oxidation of Met35 blocks Aβ42 paranuclei (pentamer/hexamer) formation

Tertiary structure of $A\beta$ pentamers



Leu34,Met35,Val36 strongly connected to Val40,Ile41,Ala42 in Aβ42, not in Aβ40

Puzzle of amyloid plaques

Optical microscope image of a human Nissl stained section



A sequence of 3D images of an *in vivo* amyloid plaque



3D model of amyloid plaque growth



Cruz, Urbanc, Buldyrev, Christie, Gomez-Isla, Havlin, McNamara, Stanley, and Hyman, *Aggregation and disaggregation of senile plaques in Alzheimer disease*, PNAS (1997).

Geometrical characteristics of A β 40 versus A β 42 pentamers



Geometrical characteristics of A β 40 versus A β 42 pentamers

