In silico study of amyloid β -protein folding and oligomer formation

Brigita Urbanc Boston University Center for Polymer Studies H. Eugene Stanley, Director

Collaborators:

L. Cruz, J. M. Borreguero, S. Yun, S. Peng, A. Lam, H. E. Stanley (BU) G. Bitan and D. B. Teplow (UCLA) S. V. Buldyrev (BU & Yeshiva U)

I. What are key principles giving rise to oligomer formation differences of Aβ40 versus Aβ42?

II. What is the 3D structure of Aβ40 versus Aβ42 oligomers?

WHAT DO IN VITRO STUDIES SHOW?

 Aβ40: monomers to tetramers, Aβ42: paranuclei (pentamers/ hexamers) and higher order oligomers---multiples of paranuclei (10-12, 15-18)---

Bitan, Kirkitadze, Lomakin, Vollers, Benedek & Teplow, PNAS (2003);

 hydrophobic nature of C-terminal Ile41 and Ala42 plays a key role in Aβ42 paranuclei formation---

Bitan, Vollers & Teplow, JBC (2003);

 oxidation of Met35 disruptsAβ42 paranuclei formation---Bitan, Tarus, Vollers, Lashuel, Condron, Straub & Teplow, JACS (2003).

METHOD: discrete molecular dynamics (DMD)



Zhou, Hall & Karplus, PRL, 1996; Zhou & Karplus, PNAS, 1997; Dokholyan, Buldyrev, Stanley & Shakhnovich, Fold. Des., 1998.

Four-bead peptide model

Ding, Borreguero, Buldyrev, Stanley & Dokholyan, Proteins, 2003.beads, bonds, & constraintshydrogen bond implementation



 $\begin{array}{ll} N_i & \dots \text{ amino group} \\ C_{\alpha} & \dots \alpha \text{ carbon group} \\ C_i' & \dots \text{ carboxyl group} \\ C_{\beta} & \dots \text{ side chain group} \end{array}$



Urbanc, Cruz, Ding, Sammond, Khare, Buldyrev, Stanley & Dokholyan, Biophys. J., 2004.

Four-bead model with hydrogen bonds predicts planar β-sheet dimers and single-layer β-sheet oligomer structure



Planar β -sheet dimer in water



Single-layer β-sheet oligomer



Amino acid-specific side chain interactions: Effective hydropathy

Phenomenological hydropathy scale---Kyte and Doolittle, JMB, 1982.

hydrophobic residues: (from the most to the least hydrophobic) Ile, Val, Leu, Phe, Cys, Met, Ala

hydrophobic effect --> minimize the ``solvent''exposed surface --> attraction





two hydrophobic side chains attract via attractive square well

hydrophilic residues: (from the most to the least hydrophilic)

Arg+, Lys+, Asp-, Glu-, Asn, Gln, His

hydrophilic effect --> maximize the ``solvent''exposed surface-->repulsion



two hydrophilic side chains repel via repulsive square well if:

- both non-charged;
- one charged and the other non-charged.

Purpose: Separate hydropathic from electrostatic effects.

8 trajectories of each A β 40 and A β 42 with 32 peptides in a cubic box of 25 nm



Initially peptides are in unfolded, zero-energy conformations.



Aβ40 versus Aβ42 monomer folding

• contacts form first at the C-termini

turn at Gly37-Gly38
forms in Aβ42 only

 β-strand at Ala2-Phe4 forms in Aβ40 only



8 trajectories of each A β 40 and A β 42 with 32 peptides in a cubic box of 25 nm

After 5-6 million simulation steps, monomers and oligomers are in a quasi-steady state.



Aβ40 versus Aβ42 oligomer size distributions



Bitan et al, JACS, 2003. Oxidation of Met35 blocks Aβ42 paranuclei (pentamer/hexamer) formation



Leu34,Met35,Val36 strongly connected to Val40,Ile41,Ala42 in Aβ42, not in Aβ40

3D structure of A β 40 and A β 42 pentamers



VMD Software Package (Humphrey et al, JMG, 1996). STRIDE program for s.s. calculation (Heinig and Frishman, 2004).





I. What are key principles giving rise to different pathways of A β 40 versus A β 42 oligomer formation?

• hydrophobic/hydrophilic effective interactions are key driving ints in A β oligomer formation that underly differences between A β 40 and A β 42;

II. What is the 3D structure of A β 40 versus A β 42 oligomers?

- a turn-like element at Gly37-Gly38 is present in folded Aβ42 monomer but not Aβ40 monomer, and associated with the first contacts that form during folding;
- oligomers have globular structure with C-termini within the core and N-termini at the surface;
- N-termini of A β 40 oligomers are more spatially restricted than in A β 42 and form a β -strand structure at Ala2-Phe4 ---> hydrophobic core of A β 42 oligomers is more exposed ---> A β 42 more prone to aggregate further.

Urbanc, Cruz, Yun, Buldyrev, Bitan, Teplow & Stanley, PNAS, 2004.

FUTURE WORK

- systematically study the effects of interaction between charged amino acids on Aβ monomer folding and oligomerization;
- expand the four-bead model to a united-atom model to studies details of side chain-side chain interaction (Borreguero et al, submitted to PNAS).

Our approach provides experimentally testable hypotheses about $A\beta$ folding and oligomerization and provides an *in silico* method for testing therapeutic compounds by inclusion of these compounds with $A\beta$ monomers during simulations and study the effects on folding and aggregation.

Number of monomers and oligomers versus simulation time







Effects of the interaction between two charged side chains on oligomer size distribution



Interactions between charged side chains speed up oligomerization, but do not affect the degree of the difference between Aβ40 and Aβ42.

Time evolution of monomer folding: Hydropathy and Charge

