Generalized model for \(k\)-core percolation and interdependent networks
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Cascading failures in complex systems have been studied extensively using two different models: \(k\)-core percolation and interdependent networks. We combine the two models into a general model, solve it analytically, and validate our theoretical results through extensive simulations. We also study the complete phase diagram of the percolation transition as we tune the average local \(k\)-core threshold and the coupling between networks. We find that the phase diagram of the combined processes is very rich and includes novel features that do not appear in the models studying each of the processes separately. For example, the phase diagram consists of first- and second-order transition regions separated by two tricritical lines that merge and enclose a two-stage transition region. In the two-stage transition, the size of the giant component undergoes a first-order jump at a certain occupation probability followed by a continuous second-order transition at a lower occupation probability. Furthermore, at certain fixed interdependencies, the percolation transition changes from first-order \(\rightarrow\) second-order \(\rightarrow\) two-stage \(\rightarrow\) first-order as the \(k\)-core threshold is increased. The analytic equations describing the phase boundaries of the two-stage transition region are set up, and the critical exponents for each type of transition are derived analytically.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032317

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding cascading failures is one of the central questions in the study of complex systems [1]. In complex systems, such as power grids [2,3], financial networks [4], and social systems [5], even a small perturbation can cause sudden cascading failures. In particular, two models for cascading failures with two different mechanisms were studied extensively and separately: \(k\)-core percolation [6,7] and interdependency between networks [8–11].

In single networks, \(k\)-core is defined as a maximal set of nodes that have at least \(k\) neighbors within the set. The algorithm to find \(k\)-cores is a local process consisting of repeated removal of nodes having fewer than \(k\) neighbors until every node meets this criterion. \(k\)-core decomposition of networks has been extensively used in studying the organization of large networks [12] and relating this organization to the functionality in diverse systems such as the internet [13], protein interaction networks [14,15], neuronal networks [16], and cortical organization of the human brain [17]. The greater importance of nodes present in the higher \(k\)-cores is demonstrated also in epidemiology [18], community detection [19], and neuronal networks [17,20]. Furthermore, \(k\)-core percolation has been used in explaining cascading failures [6,7], evolutionary biology [21], and robustness studies of airport networks [22]. Additionally, the threshold \(k\) can be node-dependent, which is often referred to as heterogeneous \(k\)-core percolation. Both homogeneous and heterogeneous cases have been extensively studied in single networks [23–27].

Another salient feature of real-world systems that causes cascading failures is interdependency. For example, power networks and communication networks depend on each other to function and regulate, so failure in one or both networks leads to cascading failures in one or both systems. Cascading failures have been studied extensively as percolation in interdependent networks [8,9,28–31]. An increase in either interdependency or \(k\)-core threshold increases the instability in networks. The models, studying these processes separately, demonstrate this with percolation transition changing from second-order \(\rightarrow\) first-order as the parameters are increased [9,26].

As motivated above, \(k\)-core percolation provides a model to understand the robustness of diverse systems and more specifically robustness of important nodes in the system. Recent studies have shown that these systems are often interdependent on other systems, and interdependency makes the systems more vulnerable [8,32]. Therefore, \(k\)-core percolation has to be studied in the presence of interdependency, as we do here, for better understanding of the robustness of the the systems. In this paper, we study a general model that combines both processes (\(k\)-core percolation and interdependency), and we demonstrate that the results of the combination are very rich and include novel features that do not appear in the models that study each process separately. In many aspects, results are counterintuitive. For example, at certain fixed interdependencies, the percolation transition changes from first-order \(\rightarrow\) second-order \(\rightarrow\) two-stage \(\rightarrow\) first-order as the \(k\)-core threshold is increased.

Consider a system composed of two interdependent uncorrelated random networks A and B with both having the same arbitrary degree distribution \(P(i)\). The coupling \(q\) between networks is defined as the fraction of nodes in network A depending on nodes in network B and vice versa (Fig. 1). The \(k\)-core percolation process is initiated by removing a fraction \(1 - p_0\) of randomly chosen nodes, along with all their edges, from both networks. In \(k\)-core percolation, nodes in the first network with fewer than \(k_0\) neighbors are pruned (the local threshold of each node may differ), along with all the nodes in the second network that are dependent on them. The \(k\)-core percolation process is repeated in the second network, and this reduces the number of neighbors of nodes in the first network to fewer than \(k_0\). This cascade process is continued
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in both networks until a steady state is reached. The cascades in both networks are bigger during k-core percolation than during regular percolation due to the pruning process. Here we consider the case of heterogeneous k-core percolation in which a fraction \( r \) of randomly chosen nodes in each network is assigned a local threshold \( k_a + 1 \) and the remaining fraction \( 1 - r \) nodes are assigned a threshold \( k_a \). This makes the average local threshold per site, identical for both networks, to be \( k = (1 - r)k_a + r(k_a + 1) \), which allows us to study the k-core percolation continuously from \( k_a \)-core to \( (k_a + 1) \)-core by changing the fraction \( r \). Note that the k-core percolation properties depend on the distribution of local thresholds \( k_a \) and not on the average threshold per site as found in single networks \cite{27,33}. In this paper, for notational simplicity, \( k \) is used for indexing various functions. The functions truly depend on the parameters \( k_a \) and \( r \), which can be calculated from \( k \) using

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_a &= \lfloor k \rfloor, \\
  r &= k - k_a,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \lfloor k \rfloor \) denotes the floor function of \( k \).

At the steady state of the cascade process, the network becomes fragmented into clusters of various sizes. Only the largest cluster (the “giant component”) is considered functional in this study and is the quantity of interest. The fraction of nodes \( \phi_\infty \) remaining in the steady state is identical in both networks as the entire process is symmetrical for both networks and can be calculated using the formalism developed by Parshani et al. \cite{9},

\[
\phi_\infty = p_0[1 - q[1 - p_0M_k(\phi'_\infty)]]],
\]

where \( M_k(\phi'_\infty) \) is the probability of a node to belong to the giant component in a single network with an occupation probability of \( \phi'_\infty \). Due to coupling between the networks, the fraction \( \phi_\infty \) remaining in each network at the steady state of the cascade process is less than the fraction \( p_0 \) of nodes remaining in each network after the initial damage. The size of the giant component in the coupled networks at the steady state \( \phi_\infty \) is

\[
\phi_\infty = \phi'_\infty M_k(\phi'_\infty).
\]
A. Complete phase diagram

To demonstrate the richness of the model that combines $k$-core and interdependency, we focus on two interdependent Erdős-Rényi networks. Both networks have identical degree distributions given by $P(i) = \frac{z_i^k \exp(-z_i)}{i!}$ with the same average degree $z_1$. The function $f_k$ is given by $f_k(Z, X) = 1 - e^{-z_i Z}$ for $1 \leq k < 2$. Since $X = Z$ for $k \geq 2$, $f_k(Z, Z) = 1 - e^{-z_1 Z} (1 + rz_1 Z)$. The functions $M_k$ are given by $M_k(Z, X) = 1 - e^{-z_i Z} - rz_1 Z e^{-z_1 X}$ for $1 \leq k < 2$, and $M_k(Z, Z) = 1 - (1 - r) (\frac{z_1 Z}{Z_1})^2 - r (\frac{z_1 Z}{Z_1})^2$ for $k \geq 2$, where $\Gamma(m, x)$ and $\Gamma(m)$ are incomplete and complete gamma functions, respectively, of order $m$. The parameter $r$ appearing in the functions is calculated using Eq. (1).

The behavior of the function $h_{k, q}(Z)$ (Eq. (8)) for fixed values of parameters, as a function of $Z$ determines the nature of the $k$-core percolation transition. In general, the function $h_{k, q}(Z)$ has either (1) a monotonically increasing behavior, (2) a local minimum, or (3) a global minimum (see Fig. 5). Monotonically increasing behavior corresponds to a second-order percolation transition. When $h_{k, q}(Z)$ has a global minima, percolation transition is an abrupt (first-order) transition. The presence of local minima indicates that the percolation transition is a two-stage transition in which the giant component undergoes an abrupt (first-order) jump followed by a continuous transition as the occupation probability $p_0$ is decreased [see the case of $q = 0.765$ in Fig. 2(b)]. Using this analysis, we plot the complete phase diagram of $k$-core percolation transition for Erdős-Rényi networks in Fig. 6.

The boundaries of the phase diagram (Fig. 6), $q = 0$ and $k = 1$ lines correspond to the cases of $k$-core percolation in single network and regular percolation in interdependent networks, respectively. We describe the complex nature of the combined $k$-core percolation and interdependent network model at intermediate couplings $0 < q < 1$, and contrast it with the known results at the boundaries. Parshani et al. [9] demonstrated that regular percolation in coupled networks changes from a second-order to first-order when it passes through a tricritical point at the critical coupling $q_{n, 1}$. The tricritical nature is preserved in $k$-core percolation as the average local threshold $k$ is increased, but the tricritical coupling $q_{n, k}$ increases with $k$, as can be seen in Fig. 6. The dependence of $q_{n, k}$ on the average degree $z_1$ is

$$q_{n, k} = 1 + X_{k-1, 0} - \sqrt{(1 + X_{k-1, 0})^2 - 1},$$

where $X_{k-1, 0}$ is the numerical solution for $X$ in self-consistent Eq. (5) when $Z = 0$.

A first-order transition indicates network instability. Because instability increases with an increase in both the coupling $q$ and the average local threshold $k$—more nodes are removed during $k$-core percolation at higher local thresholds—we expect the $k$-core percolation transition to become first-order at lower couplings when the average local threshold is higher. Counterintuitively, Fig. 6 shows that the tricritical coupling $p_c$, illustrated below in the example of two Erdős-Rényi networks.

II. TWO COUPLED ERDŐS-RÉNYI NETWORKS

The function $h_{k, q}(Z)$ in Eq. (8) determines the nature of the phase transition and the critical percolation thresholds

$\Phi_\infty$ for two coupled Erdős-Rényi networks at fixed average local threshold (a) $k = 1.5$, (b) $k = 2.0$, and (c) $k = 2.5$. As the coupling $q$ is increased, $k$-core percolation transition changes from second-order to first-order. For $k = 2.0$, a two-stage transition is seen at intermediate couplings. Simulation results agree well with the theory.
Simulation results (shown as symbols) are obtained for a system with 10^6 nodes in each network. As the coupling \( q \) is increased to \( q \sim 1 \) for \( k = 2.7 \), the tricritical coupling \( \delta k_{c} \sim 1 \) and the tricritical coupling \( q_{c,1} \) indeed increases with \( k \), around \( k = 1 \), as

\[
q_{c,1} = q_{c,1} + \frac{\delta k e^{-1}(1 + \delta k e^{-1})}{z_1}\left(\frac{z_1 + 1}{\sqrt{2z_1 + 1}} - 1\right),
\]

where \( \delta k = k - 1 \) and the tricritical coupling \( q_{c,1} \) (consistent with results found in Ref. [34]) is given by

\[
q_{c,1} = 1 + \frac{1}{z_1} - \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{z_1}\right)^2 - 1}.
\]

We compare the perturbative solution of Eq. (10) with the numerical solution of Eq. (9) and the simulation results in Fig. 7.

Above an average local threshold \( k \leq 2 \), the tricritical nature ceases to exist. Instead, as the coupling \( q \) is increased, the \( k \)-core percolation transition goes through a two-stage transition as it changes from second-order to first-order. Figure 2(b) shows that this two-stage transition has characteristics of both first- and second-order transitions. The critical couplings \( q_{c,1} \) and \( q_{c,2} \) separate the two-stage transition from the first- and second-order transition regions respectively. At the critical line \( q_{c,2}(k) \), the function \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) develops an inflection point at \( Z > 0 \) that signals the development of a local minimum for \( q > q_{c,2} \) [see Fig. 5(b)]. The condition for \( q_{c,2} \) at a fixed \( k \) is

\[
h_{k,q_{c,2}}(Z_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{k,q_{c,2}}'(Z_0) = 0,
\]

where the derivatives are taken with respect to \( Z \) and the inflection point \( Z_0 \) must be determined using the relationship in Eq. (12). For couplings \( q \leq q_{c,1} \), the global minimum of \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) occurs at \( Z = 0 \). For \( q > q_{c,1} \), the global minimum shifts to \( Z_0 > 0 \). At the critical line \( q_{c,1}(k) \), the function has
global minima at both \( Z = 0 \) and \( Z_0 > 0 \) [see Fig. 5(b)], and this yields the conditions for the critical coupling \( q_{c,1} \),
\[
 h_{k,q_{c,1}}(Z_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{k,q_{c,1}}(Z_0) = h_{k,q_{c,1}}(Z = 0),
\]
where the derivatives are taken with respect to \( Z \).

In single networks, the \( k \)-core percolation transition reaches a tricritical point when the average local threshold is increased from 2 to 3 at \( k_c = 2.5 \) [26]. Figure 6 shows that this tricritical point is preserved when the coupling between the networks is increased up to a critical coupling \( q_{c,25} \) and forms a second tricritical line. The point \( q_{c,25} \) (point “X”) is a triple point surrounded by three regimes. This critical coupling depends on the average degree \( z_1 \):
\[
 q_{c,25} = 1 + \frac{3}{2z_1} - \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{3}{2z_1}^2}{2}} - 1. \tag{14}
\]

The critical lines \( q_{c,1}(k) \) and \( q_{c,2}(k) \) can be calculated perturbatively around the point \( q_{c,25} \). Using the expansion of \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) around \( Z = 0 \) with the conditions in Eq. (12) and Eq. (13), we get a general equation
\[
 a_m(1 - q)^4 + b_m q(1 - q)^2 + c_m q^2 = 0, \tag{15}
\]
where \( a_m = \frac{z_1^2}{2} [12(3 - 2m) \delta^2 + 6(m - 2) \delta + 1] \), \( b_m = \frac{z_1}{2} [12(1 - m) \delta^2 + (4 - 2m) \delta - 1] \), \( c_m = \delta^2 + \delta + 1/4 \) with \( \delta = 2.5 - k \). Solving Eq. (15) with \( m = 3 \) and \( m = 4 \) gives \( q_{c,2} \) and \( q_{c,1} \), respectively. The numerical solution of Eq. (15) are plotted in Fig. 8.

Finally, for the average local threshold \( 2.5 < k \leq 3 \), \( k \)-core percolation transition remains first-order even when the coupling between the networks is increased.

B. Critical exponents and critical percolation thresholds

The critical percolation thresholds and critical exponents for all three transitions discussed above can be calculated from the function \( h_{k,q}(Z) \). At the second-order transition and the continuous part of the two-stage transition \( q < q_{c,1} \), the gray regions in Fig. 6), the critical behavior of the giant component takes the form \( \phi_{\infty} \sim (p_0 - p_{c,2})^{\beta_2} \), where \( p_{c,2} = h_{k,q}(Z = 0) \). The analytical expressions for \( p_{c,2} \) are
\[
p_{c,2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z_1(1-q)}, & 1 \leq k \leq 2 \\ \frac{1}{z_1(1-(k-2))(1-q)}, & 2 \leq k \leq 2.5 \end{cases} \tag{16}
\]

We find the exponent \( \beta_2 \) by using the Taylor series expansion of the function \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) around \( Z = 0 \). The exponent
Eqs. (9) and (10). The numerical results are in excellent agreement with the simulation results (shown as symbols) for a network with 10^5 nodes.
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**FIG. 6.** Complete phase diagram for k-core percolation transition for two interdependent Erdős–Rényi networks with average degree z_1 = 10. Both networks have the same average local threshold per site \( k = (1 - r)k_0 + r(k_0 + 1) \), with fraction \( 1 - r \) of randomly chosen nodes having local threshold \( k_0 \) and the remaining nodes having local threshold \( k_0 + 1 \). The symbol “X” in the phase diagram indicates the coupling \( q_{c,2.5} \).

depends on coupling, indicating that coupling changes the universality classes of these k-core percolation transitions. The exponents found at different points of the phase diagram (see Fig. 9) are

\[
\beta_2 = \begin{cases} 
 1, & 1 < k < 2, q < q_{c,1} \\
 1/2, & 1 < k < 2, q = q_{c,1} \\
 2, & 2 < k < 2.5, q < q_{c,1} \\
 1, & k = 2.5, q < q_{c,2.5} \\
 2/3, & k = 2.5, q = q_{c,2.5} 
\end{cases}
\]

(17)

At the first-order transition and the abrupt jump of the two-stage transition, the critical behavior of the giant com-
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**FIG. 7.** Plot of tricritical coupling \( q_{tri,k} \) as a function average threshold \( k \) obtained from the numerical solution of perturbative expansion to first order, second order and exact equation given in Eqs. (9) and (10). The numerical results are in excellent agreement with the simulation results (shown as symbols) for a network with 10^5 nodes.

ponent takes the form \( \phi_\infty - \phi_{\infty,0} \sim (p_0 - p_{c,1})^{\beta_1} \), where \( p_{c,1} = h_{k,q}(Z_0) \). \( Z_0 \) is the minimum of the function \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) found using the condition \( h_{k,q}(Z_0) = 0 \). Both \( p_{c,1} \) and \( p_{c,2} \) are calculated numerically and are in good agreement with the simulations shown in Fig. 10. We calculate the critical exponent \( \beta_1 \) using a Taylor series expansion of the function \( h_{k,q}(Z) \) around the minimum \( Z_0 \) and find that it is dependent only on coupling \( q \) (see Fig. 9) as given by

\[
\beta_1 = \begin{cases} 
 1/3, & q < q_{c,2} \\
 1/2, & q > q_{c,2} 
\end{cases}
\]

(18)

![Diagram 3](image3)

**FIG. 8.** Numerical solution of the perturbative expansion of \( q_{c,1}(k) \) and \( q_{c,2}(k) \) around the triple point \( q_{c,2.5} \) given in Eq. (15).
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**FIG. 9.** The critical exponents for the k-core percolation of coupled networks are given at different regions of the phase diagram for two interdependent Erdős–Rényi networks with average degree \( z_1 = 10 \). \( \beta_1 \) denotes the critical exponent for the first-order transition and near the abrupt jump of the two-stage transition. \( \beta_2 \) denotes the critical exponent for the second-order transition and at the continuous part of the two-stage transition. Regions labeled with both \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) represent the two-stage transition regime. The exponents are summarized in Eqs. (17) and (18). The symbol “X” in the phase diagram indicates the coupling \( q_{c,2.5} \). The critical exponents of k-core percolation transitions for low couplings are identical to those found in single networks [26].
In conclusion, we have demonstrated the richness of the phase diagram is striking when the threshold is at abrupt (first-order) jump and continuous transition for fixed average local threshold \( k \). At certain fixed intermediate couplings, the \( k \)-core percolation transition changes from second-order to first-order as the coupling is increased, passing through either a tricritical point or two-stage transition depending on the average local threshold. Counterintuitively, we find the tricritical coupling to increase with the \( k \)-core threshold. The richness of this generalized model is further emphasized with the \( k \)-core percolation transition, for certain fixed couplings, changing from first-order → second-order → two-stage → first-order as the \( k \)-core threshold is increased, in contrast to second-order → first-order for \( k \)-core percolation in single networks. To test the universality of our results, we also analyzed, both analytically and numerically, the phase diagram for \( k \)-core percolation in interdependent random regular networks and found this system to be very similar to that of Erdős-Rényi networks (see Sec. A).

Studying these new percolation transitions found in this generalized model will enable us to understand the importance and the rich effects of coupling between different resources in cascading failures that occur in real-world systems, which will enable us to design more resilient systems.
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*Fig. 10.* Perculation threshold \( p_c \) as a function of (a) the coupling \( q \) for fixed average local threshold \( k = 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 \) representing horizontal lines in Fig. 6 and (b) the average local threshold \( k \) for several fixed coupling \( q = 0.3, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 \) representing vertical lines in Fig. 6. Dashed and continuous lines indicate that the percolation threshold is at abrupt (first-order) jump and continuous transition respectively. Simulation results (shown as symbols) are obtained for a system with \( 10^6 \) nodes in each network.

The exponents \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are shown on the phase diagram for all regimes in Fig. 9.

The richness of the phase diagram is striking when the change in \( k \)-core percolation transition is considered as threshold \( k \) is increased at fixed \( q \). At certain fixed intermediate couplings, the \( k \)-core percolation transition changes from first-order → second-order → two-stage → first-order as the \( k \)-core threshold is increased (see the vertical arrow in Fig. 6). Additionally, note that the result for fully interdependent networks \( q = 1 \) is consistent with the result for the \( k \)-core percolation transition in multiplex networks in that they are both first-order for any average threshold \( k \) [35].

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the richness of the combination (\( k \)-core percolation and interdependency) by analyzing our generalized model for two interdependent Erdős-Rényi networks. The coupling between networks changes the universality classes of \( k \)-core percolation found in single networks, and the new critical exponents are calculated analytically. At fixed \( k \)-core threshold, the \( k \)-core percolation transition changes from second-order to first-order as the coupling is increased, passing through either a tricritical point or two-stage transition depending on the average local threshold. Counterintuitively, we find the tricritical coupling to increase with the \( k \)-core threshold. The richness of this generalized model is further emphasized with the \( k \)-core percolation transition, for certain fixed couplings, changing from first-order → second-order → two-stage → first-order as the \( k \)-core threshold is increased, in contrast to second-order → first-order for \( k \)-core percolation in single networks. To test the universality of our results, we also analyzed, both analytically and numerically, the phase diagram for \( k \)-core percolation in interdependent random regular networks and found this system to be very similar to that of Erdős-Rényi networks (see Sec. A). Studying these new percolation transitions found in this generalized model will enable us to understand the importance and the rich effects of coupling between different resources in cascading failures that occur in real-world systems, which will enable us to design more resilient systems.
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APPENDIX: RANDOM REGULAR NETWORK:
COMPLETE PHASE DIAGRAM

We consider two coupled random regular networks with identical critical exponents. The critical diagrams are the same as those of coupled Erdős-Rényi networks, including identical critical exponents. The critical percolation thresholds are different and, for second-order and continuous part of the two-stage transitions for random regular networks is given by

\[
p_{c,2} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{(\gamma_1-1)(1-q)} & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq 2 \\
\frac{1}{(\gamma_1-1)(1-2k)(1-q)} & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq 2.5
\end{cases} \tag{A1}
\]

The tricritical coupling for regular percolation in independent random regular networks depends on its degree \( z_1 \) as given in Eq. (A2),

\[
q_{c,1} = 1 + \alpha - \sqrt{(1 + \alpha)^2 - 1}, \tag{A2}
\]

where \( \alpha = \frac{z_1}{(\gamma_1-1)(z_1-2)} \).

The tricritical point found for average local threshold \( k \leq 2.5 \) in single random regular network is preserved in coupled networks as well. The tricritical nature persists only up to a critical coupling \( q_{c,2.5} \) and its dependence on the degree \( z_1 \) is given by Eq. (A3),

\[
q_{c,2.5} = 1 + \alpha' - \sqrt{(1 + \alpha')^2 - 1}, \tag{A3}
\]

where \( \alpha' = \frac{3z_1}{2(z_1-2x(z_1-3))} \).
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